AP® ENGLISH LITERATURE AND COMPOSITION 2016 SCORING GUIDELINES

Question 3: Intentional Deception

The score should reflect the quality of the essay as a whole — its content, style, and mechanics. **Reward the students for what they do well.** The score for an exceptionally well-written essay may be raised by 1 point above the otherwise appropriate score. In no case may a poorly written essay be scored higher than a 3.

- **9–8** These essays offer a well-focused and persuasive analysis of the motives for the character's deception and how the deception contributes to the meaning of the work as a whole. Using apt and specific textual support, these essays analyze what motivates the character's deception and how the work as a whole is shaped by it. Although these essays may not be error-free, they make a strong case for their interpretation and discuss the literary work with significant insight and understanding. Essays scored a 9 reveal more sophisticated analysis and more effective control of language than do essays scored an 8.
- **7–6** These essays offer a reasonable analysis of the motives for the character's deception and how the deception contributes to the meaning of the work as a whole. These essays analyze what motivates the character's deception and how the work as a whole is shaped by it. While these papers demonstrate insight and understanding, their analysis is less thorough, less perceptive, and/or less specific in supporting detail than that of the 9–8 essays. Essays scored a 7 present better-developed analysis and more consistent command of the elements of effective composition than do essays scored a 6.
- These essays respond to the assigned task with a plausible reading, but they tend to be superficial or thinly developed in analysis. They often rely upon plot summary that contains some analysis, implicit or explicit. Although the writers attempt to discuss what motivates the character's deception, they may demonstrate a rather simplistic understanding of its significance, and support from the text may be too general. While these writers demonstrate adequate control of language, their essays may be marred by surface errors. These essays are not as well conceived, organized, or developed as 7–6 essays.
- **4–3** These lower-half essays fail to offer an adequate analysis of the motives for a character's deception and how that deception contributes to the meaning of the work as a whole. The analysis may be partial, unsupported, or irrelevant, and the essays may reflect an incomplete or oversimplified understanding of the significance of what motivates the character's deception. They may not develop an analysis of the contribution of the character's deception to the meaning of the work as a whole, or they may rely on plot summary alone. These essays may be characterized by an unfocused or repetitive presentation of ideas, an absence of textual support, or an accumulation of errors; they may lack control over the elements of college-level composition. Essays scored a 3 may contain significant misreading and/or inept writing.
- **2–1** Although these essays make some attempt to respond to the prompt, they compound the weaknesses of the papers in the 4–3 range. Often, they are unacceptably brief or incoherent in presenting their ideas. They may be poorly written on several counts and contain distracting errors in grammar and mechanics. The student's remarks may be presented with little clarity, organization, or supporting evidence. Essays scored a 1 contain little coherent discussion of the text.
- **0** These essays give a response that is completely off topic or inadequate; there may be some mark or a drawing or a brief reference to the task.
- These essays are entirely blank.



Theodore An American Tradgedy. commits multiple dishonest actions in order his reputation. Clyde lies, cheats and commits murder to ladder. The immoral artions Chide done in financially, Each action that call for more deceptive CONSEQUENCES pover them up. At the bogginning rons away and ht-and is due to the fac behavior Want his reputation, and ydes involvment with socialize mpress than greed tor tho consumer addirtive nature Passession in the in order to gain a prision clude. His actions and gained



wanting more money continues. aread vallabilitu Divota are

decistons, and

aangerous

difficult

(1074) elonormans Mah and Mat ealized

There is a truth that comes with every lies and that truth may unveils the undetected lies that would either spare someone's feelings or mislead others for personal safety. Dickens' Great Expectations is an example of many cases, where a mountebank character may not regarded how the thuth would affect another character in the nearby future. For instance, Miss Havisham is one of the character who have decreved her fellow liasions, and may not expect her expect her with her "white" lies. If an example of her depredations is with her "white" lies. If an example of her depredations is with Pip and her only, and adoptive daugther, Estella Havisham. The motives behide her deception wasn't intentional, but more self-constants.

In the beginning of the novel, Miss Havisham invited PIP from the Forge, and simply have a "play fate" at her satis House with Estella. When PIP came over for the first time, Havisham noticed an affinity between PIP and Estella. Thus, she later invites PIP to comes over frequently, and the more that he comes the More that she felt secured that PIP Would become her victim. An motive that thrived Havisham was the need for a boy to Estella's interests.

Yet Havisham decided on Using Pip's intentions,
then makes him to becomes a gentleman. As well
by using Estella, and makes her the idealistic"
Woman she wanted. This means in making Estella
beautifu, decreving and sentimental-less toward
any men. However, are ended up in causing Pip to
be mistead by Estella's decievied persona, and made
nimself that an marass of hurt and emotionally
Mazard. Thus, her motive was originally having
Estella be the decievefu "self", and didn't Meant
to affect Pip's life in penil. Because her "White"
lies were the almost banes between her relations
with Pipand Estella In conclusion, the truth
behide Miss Havisham's lies may unveils the untended
Spaningand misleadings that the motives take not intermed her had intermed her sous
Intended due to deception of self-constituted beasales.
2007-51-0007-0716

AP® ENGLISH LITERATURE AND COMPOSITION 2016 SCORING COMMENTARY

Question 3

Overview

For Question 3, the "Open" question, students were asked to choose a novel or play in which a character deliberately deceives others and then write an essay in which they analyze the motives for the character's deception and how the deception contributes to the meaning of the work as a whole. This year's prompt, like many previous "Open" question prompts, was deliberately worded so that students are challenged to focus first on analyzing a specific element or dimension of a novel or play, in this case a character's deception and the motives for it, and then on broadening or expanding the analysis to address how the specific element informs or impacts the work as a whole. Because students have the ability to choose the text they will analyze for this question, many of these essays are far stronger than either of the more focused essays on poetry or prose analysis. A hallmark of the "Open" question continues to be the broad and impressive range of texts that students are able to base their analysis on.

Sample: 3A – An American Tragedy

Score: 8

This essay makes a persuasive argument that Clyde Griffith's multiple acts of deception in pursuit of social and financial advancement emblematize "the rapacious greed of Industrial America" and testify to "the unsatiable [sic] desire of the consumer society." The essay insightfully details how early acts of deception give rise to others, each more morally corrupt than the last, culminating in the murder of Clyde's lover, Roberta. The essay builds to its conclusions about the meaning of the work as a whole through this sustained focus on Clyde and his actions. It deftly analyzes Clyde's motives and offers apt and specific textual support for every point. While the essay offers a convincing, confident, and fine-grained analysis of the novel, its use of language is not quite as carefully controlled. The essay contains some unwieldy sentences, for example: "Although Dreiser's novel is entertaining by putting Clyde in dangerous situations, forcing him to make morally difficult decisions, and exploiting readers' seemingly popular interest in a villanous [sic], yet good hearted protagonist, the plotline, and Clyde's fate, speak for the fate of Industrial culture." Weak syntax and poor phrasing, such as "An American Tradgedy [sic] is a warning, and a lesson, to the changes in human spirit that occur once the mind is introduced to the idea that one should, and can, have everything they want," detract somewhat from the fluidity of the essay even though they do not weaken the argument. Such language concerns prevent the essay from rising to the very top of the scoring guide; this essay earned a score of 8.

Sample: 3B – Jane Eyre

Score: 5

This essay addresses the prompt and offers a plausible reading of the novel but does not have the compositional control, focused argument, or clear structure of the essays that earned the highest scores. It identifies three instances of deceit in the novel: Rochester's family's 'trick' of marrying him to Bertha, Rochester's representation of himself to Jane as single, and his deception of Bertha in courting Jane. The latter two are attributed to Rochester's being "a man with no morals" who seeks "his own selfish pleasure" and whose first wife "didn't qualify for his standars [sic]." While these observations are accurate and directly address the prompt, the essay does not sufficiently explain how they shape the novel. Where the essay attempts a discussion of theme, it manages only superficial analysis expressed in ungainly prose: "Rochester [sic] deceit had a negative effect because he allowed Jane to loose [sic] her true self with God due to his lie of love for her." The essay fails to explore how Jane's loss of self enlarges the meaning of the work as a whole or how it forms the moral center of the novel. Instead of analysis, it relies heavily on extensive plot summary. The essay is also marred by distracting surface errors, as well as poor syntax, grammar, and diction.

AP® ENGLISH LITERATURE AND COMPOSITION 2016 SCORING COMMENTARY

Question 3 (continued)

Sample: 3C – *Great Expectations*

Score: 3

This poorly written essay begins by echoing parts of the prompt that have no bearing on the essay that follows: "There is a truth that comes with every lies [sic] and that truth may unveils [sic] the undetected lies that would either spare someone's feelings or mislead others for personal safety." Yet it does accurately identify Miss Havisham as a character who deceives Pip and makes "Estella beautiful, decieving [sic] and sentimental-less toward any men." The essay offers a brief discussion of Miss Havisham's "self-satisfying" motives but resorts to plot summary rather than exploring those motives to analyze how they shape the work and its theme. It exhibits an oversimplified understanding of the work and offers inadequate analysis couched in inept language. This essay is therefore typical of essays at this level of the scoring range. This essay earned a score of 3 because, despite its attempt to respond to the prompt, it is especially partial, poorly supported, and unconvincing.